Extent and Distribution of White Matter Hyperintensities in Normal Aging, MCI and AD
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Analysis of the extent and spatial distribution of white matter hyperintensities (WMH) in brain regions from cognitively normal older individuals (CN) and patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer disease (AD).

Background: Increased WMH volumes occur with age, MCI and AD and are thought to reflect cerebrovascular injury. Despite evidence showing that the extent of WMH increases the likelihood of cognitive impairment, previous studies have not examined differences in anatomical location of WMH that might accompany MCI and AD. Knowledge of anatomic location associated with various forms of cognitive impairment may advance knowledge as to how WMH could contribute to the observed cognitive deficits.

Methods: Subjects consisted of 26 mild AD, 28 MCI, and 33 CN. MRI analysis included quantification of WMH volume, nonlinear mapping onto a common anatomical image, and spatial localization of each WMH voxel to create an anatomically precise frequency distribution map. Areas of greatest frequency of WMH from the WMH composite map were used to identify 10 anatomical regions involving periventricular areas and the corpus callosum (CC) for group comparisons.

Results: Total WMH volumes were associated with age, extent of concurrent vascular risk factors and diagnosis. After correcting for age, total WMH volumes remained significantly associated with diagnosis and extent of vascular risk. Regional WMH analyses revealed significant differences in WMH across regions that also differed significantly according to diagnosis. In post-hoc analyses, significant differences were
seen between CN and AD in posterior periventricular regions and the splenium of the CC. MCI subjects had intermediate values at all regions. Repeated measures analysis including vascular risk factors in the model found a significant relationship between periventricular WMH and vascular risk that differed by region, but regional differences according to diagnosis remained significant and there was no interaction between diagnosis and vascular risk.

**Conclusions:** Differences in white matter hyperintensities associated with increasing cognitive impairment appear related to both extent and spatial location. Multiple regression analysis of regional white matter hyperintensities, vascular risk factors and diagnosis suggest that these spatial differences may result from the additive effects of vascular and degenerative injury. Posterior periventricular and corpus callosum extension of white matter hyperintensities associated with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease indicate involvement of strategic white matter bundles that may contribute to the cognitive deficits seen with these syndromes.
INTRODUCTION

White matter hyperintensities (WMH) are areas of increased signal on T2-weighted and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) MRI sequences of the brain. The extent of WMH is associated with increasing age, vascular risk factors, mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and dementia. WMH may also increase the likelihood of progression from MCI to dementia and are associated with reduced performance on several neuropsychological tasks in patients with Alzheimer’s disease (AD).

Debate persists, however, regarding differences in the extent and regional distribution of WMH between cognitively normal (CN) older individuals and those with MCI or AD. A number of studies distinguish periventricular from deep white matter WMH and show differences in vascular risk factors and cognitive impact, although it has been argued that such anatomical distinctions may have limitations. Studies examining lobar distributions of WMH generally find greater extent of WMH in anterior regions, particularly amongst cognitively normal older individuals although this is not uniformly true. We are not aware, however, of any study that has examined differences in extent and spatial location of WMH amongst CN, MCI and AD using newer anatomical mapping techniques.

In this study, we applied image segmentation and non-linear image mapping techniques to determine the extent and spatial location of WMH amongst CN, MCI and AD. We then tested whether differences in anatomical distribution were associated with cognitive status and vascular risk.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Subjects

Subjects include 26 patients with clinical diagnosis of possible or probable AD (age range: 62-93, 79.6 ± 6.8 years old), 28 patients with MCI (age range: 60-88, 74.8 ± 8.2 years old) and 33 cognitively normal (CN) subjects (age range: 61-91, 73.4 ± 8.1 years old). Demographic data for each group are summarized in Table 1. The AD group consisted of 17 patients with probable AD, 4 patients with possible AD and 5 patients with AD and sufficient cerebrovascular disease for the diagnosis of mixed dementia. The MCI group consisted of 7 amnestic type, 17 multiple cognitive domains type and 4 single non-memory type (85.7% of patients with MCI have memory impairment). No patient, however, had clinical stroke. The diagnosis of AD was made according to the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke-Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS/ADRDA) criteria.21 The diagnosis of mixed dementia was according to the criteria of the State of California Alzheimer’s Disease Diagnostic and Treatment Centers (ADDTC).22 MCI was diagnosed according to current consensus criteria.23

Participants were recruited from the Alzheimer’s Disease Center at the University of California, Davis (UCD). All participants received a comprehensive clinical evaluation that included a medical history, a neurological examination, appropriate laboratory tests, and neuropsychological testing with a standardized test battery that included the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)24 and the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR).25,26 The CDR score was determined independently of neuropsychological
information according to published protocol. In addition, participants received a standardized MRI scan of the brain at the baseline evaluation.

The institutional review boards at all participating institutions approved this study and subjects or their legal representatives gave written informed consent.

---Please place Table 1 near here---

**Vascular risk factors**

The presence or absence of six cerebrovascular risk factors (i.e., stroke, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, TIA, hypertension, and coronary artery disease) was systematically assessed from subject and informant histories as well as review of pertinent medical records to create a composite score that was the sum of the factors present ranging from 0 to 627 and reported as a percentage.

**Image acquisition and Mapping**

*MRI Sequences*

All brain imaging was obtained at the University of California at Davis Imaging Research Center on a 1.5T GE Signa Horizon LX Echospeed system. Two sequences were employed: a T1 weighted coronal 3D spoiled gradient recalled echo (SPGR) acquisition and a fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence designed to enhance WMH segmentation.28
**WMH Segmentation**

Segmentation of WMH was performed by a semi-automated procedure using a set of in-house computer algorithms and programs previously described.\textsuperscript{16}

**T1 Image Correction and Mapping**

The method of T1 image correction and mapping has been previously described.\textsuperscript{16}

In this process, WMH segmentation is used to create a mask image indicating the location of each WMH voxel for mapping into the common anatomical space that occurs as follows:

1. Affine coregistration of the FLAIR image to the high-resolution T1 image using a 6-parameter transformation.\textsuperscript{29}

2. Correct intensity changes in the T1 image in areas of WMH to reduce adverse impact of the WMH voxel values on the accuracy of the nonlinear warping algorithm. This involved estimating the mean of normal white matter voxel intensities surrounding voxels identified as WMH through FLAIR segmentation and replacing voxels in the T1 corresponding to WMH found in the FLAIR image by the estimate of the normal white matter intensity.

3. Spatial normalization of the coregistered FLAIR and T1 onto a Minimal Deformation Template (MDT).\textsuperscript{30} This type of image is created to minimize the amount of distortion necessary to non-linearly align each subject MRI of the study. Spatial normalization consisted of a high-dimensional cubic B-spline warp of the template onto each subject.\textsuperscript{31} The parameters computed from this alignment were then used to inverse-warp each subject T1 image onto the MDT. In addition, the accompanying FLAIR image and WMH mask for
each subject were also transformed onto the MDT.

(4) After transformation of each image using the warping parameters, the coordinates of each WMH voxel for each subject was computed with respect to the MDT. This information was then used to create a composite map that displayed the frequency of WMH at every voxel location in the MDT. MDT coordinates were also converted into MNI coordinates using the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template for further anatomical localization.

Image analysis

Image analysis consisted of two major components. The first was to create a WMH frequency distribution map for each group. Voxel intensity values of the group composite maps indicated the frequency of WMH for that group at each location within the MDT image. The WMH frequency maps thus serve as a measure of inter-subject variability and relative WMH lesion load for each group at each anatomic location. The color-coded composite frequency map in MNI anatomic space for all subjects is shown in Figure 1. Second, specific regions of interest (ROIs) defined by the areas of greatest frequency on the MDT template were created. The ROIs were of variable size (205-3190 mm$^3$), depending on the anatomical region studied. ROIs were placed at eight locations in each hemisphere, as well as the genu and splenium of the corpus callosum (CC). Figure 2 is a graphic representation of these ROIs. Group differences within the various ROIs were compared as a percentage of the size of each ROI (e.g. if an ROI contained 100 voxels and 50 were WMH, then the value for that ROI of that subject would be 0.5). In addition, we
Yoshita divided the subjects into quartiles of MMSE scores (the first quartile (n = 19): MMSE 0-24, the second quartile (n = 24): MMSE 25-27, the third quartile (n = 12): MMSE 28, the fourth quartile (n = 22): MMSE 29-30) to assess the distribution of WMH in a continuous fashion with a measure of global cognitive ability. To avoid the confounding of education on MMSE score, we eliminated 9 subjects without formal education from this analysis.

---Please Place Figures 1 and 2 near here---

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using JMP, version 5.1.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). For group analysis, total WMH volumes were log transformed to normalize variance. Group differences on demographic data were compared using analysis of variance with post-hoc pairwise comparisons using Tukey’s method for multiple comparison corrections, Mann-Whitney’s U or Kruskal-Wallis tests as appropriate according to the distribution of the data. Correlations were evaluated by a Pearson correlation test. Results are expressed as mean values ± standard deviation.

Multiple regression models, adjusted for vascular risk factors were used for analysis of group differences on total WMH volumes. Analyses were run separately for the composite risk factor score and hypertension. Repeated measures analysis of variance was used to study differences in regional WMH in relation to diagnosis and vascular risk. Post-hoc comparisons within regions were tested and significance was adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni correction. Values with \( p < 0.05 \) were regarded as statistically significant.
RESULTS

Subject Characteristics

Differences in subject characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Hippocampal data from 3 patients with AD and 2 patients with MCI and vascular risk factors for 1 MCI patient were not available.

Significant group differences were found with regard to age ($F = 4.94, p < 0.01$), brain volume ($F = 14.8, p < 0.001$), hippocampal volume (HC) ($F = 11.7, p < 0.001$), WMH volume ($F = 6.42, p < 0.003$) and MMSE score ($F = 32.8, p < 0.0001$), but not educational achievement, number of vascular risk factors or hypertension. Female subjects were over represented in the AD and CN groups. Post-hoc analysis of mean differences between groups found that AD patients differed significantly from the other 2 groups with regard to MMSE. CN and MCI differed from AD with regard to brain volume and the two cognitively impaired groups had significantly smaller hippocampi than the CN subjects. Patients with AD differed from CN subjects with regard to WMH volume and age.

Group Differences in total WMH and vascular risk

As expected from previous studies, log transformed total WMH volume was significantly correlated with age amongst the CN subjects ($R^2 = 0.16, p < 0.03$). In order to examine the impact of diagnosis, vascular risk and hypertension on WMH volumes, an age-corrected WMH volume was therefore calculated for each subject based on age-expected measures from the CN. Multiple regression analysis of the age-corrected
total WMH volumes revealed significant differences related to diagnosis and extent of vascular risk (F = 4.43, \(p < 0.007\) total model; F = 4.08, \(p < 0.03\) for diagnosis and F = 5.44, \(p < 0.03\) for vascular risk). Multiple regression analysis of age-corrected WMH volumes also revealed significant differences related to diagnosis and extent of hypertension (F = 8.32, \(p < 0.001\) total model; F = 3.79, \(p < 0.03\) for diagnosis and F = 15.7, \(p < 0.0001\) for hypertension).

**Regional WMH**

*Correlation with total WMH*

Pair-wise correlations between age-corrected regional WMH and log transformed total WMH as well as between each of the various regions were all highly significant with correlation coefficients ranging from 0.38 to 0.83 (\(p < 0.0001\) for all comparisons).

*Diagnostic Category*

Visual inspection of the WMH composite map (Figure 1) reveals that the extent of WMH was greatest for the periventricular areas. Further inspection of WMH distributions according to diagnostic category also reveals an anterior to posterior gradient of periventricular WMH in association with increasing cognitive impairment (Figure 3). Moreover, WMH were more commonly located in the genu and splenium of the corpus callosum (CC) amongst the cognitively impaired individuals, particularly the AD group.
Quantitative analyses using repeated measures analysis of variance with regional WMH as the repeated measure showed a significant effect of region (F = 6.7, p < 0.001), and diagnosis (F = 4.4, p < 0.02) as well as a significant interaction between diagnosis and region (F = 2.4, p < 0.02). Post-hoc analysis found significant regional differences by diagnostic category between AD and CN in the posterior ROI (p < 0.004) and the splenium of the CC (p < 0.0001). These results are graphically displayed in Figure 4.

---Please place Figures 3 and 4 near here---

Vascular Risk

Repeated measures analysis of variance with regional WMH as the repeated measure showed a significant main effect of region (F = 2.8, p < 0.03) and a significant region by vascular risk interaction (F = 3.2, p < 0.02).

Hypertension

Repeated measures analysis of variance with regional WMH as the repeated measure showed a significant main effect of region (F = 4.0, p < 0.003) and a significant region by hypertension status interaction (F = 4.2, p < 0.003). Post-hoc analyses found
significant regional differences with greater WMH in association with hypertension for each of the periventricular ROIs (anterior, \( p < 0.01 \); middle, \( p < 0.0005 \); and posterior, \( p < 0.0001 \)), but not the occipital or CC ROIs. These results are graphically displayed in Figure 5. The accompanying axial image shows a slice of the MDT with color-coded frequency maps (thresholded at 10\%) of CN with no hypertension (red-yellow palette) and CN with hypertension (green palette) overlaid. Where the red and green maps share a voxel, red overwrites the green. Inspection of these maps shows the greater extent of WMH in the hypertensive group.

---Please place Figure 5 near here---

Diagnosis and Vascular Risk Interactions

Repeated measures analysis of variance with regional WMH as the repeated measure evaluating the three-way interaction between region, vascular risk and diagnosis was also performed. There was a significant main effect for region (\( F = 3.0, p < 0.02 \)), a significant region by vascular risk interaction (\( F = 3.3, p < 0.01 \)) and a significant region by
diagnosis interaction (F = 2.2, p < 0.02), but not a significant region by vascular risk by
diagnosis interaction.

Similar three-way interaction repeated measures analysis of variance with
hypertension alone as the vascular risk factor also showed a significant main effect by
region (F = 5.3, p < 0.005), a significant region by hypertension status interaction (F = 4.1, p
< 0.003) and a significant region by diagnosis interaction (F = 1.9, p < 0.05), but no
significant region by hypertension by diagnosis interaction.

Global Cognitive Measure

A second analysis of WMH distribution using quartiles of MMSE as a measure of
global cognition (Figure 6) reveals a similar pattern of rostral-caudal distribution of WMH
to that seen in Figure 3, suggesting that this effect is not strictly determined by diagnostic
categorization.

---Please place Figure 6 near here---

DISCUSSION

Our results are consistent with previous studies showing that WMH are common to
the periventricular area of CN and cognitively impaired individuals\textsuperscript{19,33-36}. WMH were also most prevalent in frontal areas of cognitively normal individuals\textsuperscript{8}, whereas more posterior regions and the corpus callosum were minimally affected (Figure 4). Similar to others, we also found that the overall burden of WMH was increased with both vascular risk and the degree of cognitive impairment\textsuperscript{5,6,8}. We believe, however, that this is the first study to show a change in rostral-caudal distribution in periventricular area associated with increasing vascular risk and cognitive impairment. Moreover, MCI subjects had a periventricular WMH burden intermediate in extent and location between CN and AD suggesting that increasing expansion of periventricular WMH may also be common to this transition phase between normal cognition and AD. Finally, we found differences in the associations between regional WMH, vascular risk factors and degree of cognitive impairment suggesting that regional differences in WMH may result from the additive effects of vascular and neurodegenerative injuries.

The periventricular location of WMH seen in our study is consistent with current concepts of WMH pathology. While some controversy remains\textsuperscript{37}, there is general consensus for a single vascular white matter watershed area extending between 3 and 13 mm from the ventricular surface\textsuperscript{38-40} which is believed to make this area prone to ischemia and may explain, in part, how vascular risk factors might contribute to later life cognitive impairment and dementia\textsuperscript{41}. The significant correlation between extent of vascular risk, hypertension and age-corrected periventricular WMH amongst the 3 groups supports this notion. This does not, however, explain differences in rostral-caudal gradient associated with increasing cognitive impairment. In a previous report using similar methods\textsuperscript{16} we
found increased extension of periventricular WMH in association with increasing amounts of total WMH volume, but this effect was seen uniformly about the periventricular areas, qualitatively different from the rostral-caudal gradient seen here. This raises the possibility that WMH may have multiple etiologies.

To the best of our knowledge, this is also the first study to examine the extent and distribution of WMH within the CC of CN, MCI and AD subjects. The CC, one of the most heavily myelinated regions of the brain, consists of fibers arising from large pyramidal neurons in layers III and V and is topographically organized, with the anterior portion containing axons of cortico-cortical communicating fibers from homologous anterior lobar brain regions and the posterior portion containing fibers from homologous posterior lobar regions. Studies of CC in normal subjects reveals a trend for greater atrophy in anterior than posterior CC regions. In contrast, early-stage AD may differentially affect posterior regions of the CC. The temporal-parietal association cortices are affected by AD prior to other neocortical sites. Since the splenium of the CC contains fibers from these regions, it would also be expected to be affected early in the AD process. Previous reports have noted atrophy of posterior CC regions in early AD that may be similar in magnitude to medial temporal atrophy at this stage. Later stages of AD include more widespread effects including involvement of anterior portions of the CC. Postmortem studies confirm atrophy of the anterior CC and a smaller diameter of nerve fibers in patients with severe AD pathology as compared to controls. Involvement of the splenium of the CC with dementia is consistent with our WMH data where the WMH of the splenium were increased for the AD group. These observations
suggest that WMH in CC may be a marker for the loss of cortical-cortical neurons commonly affected by aging and AD.

Alternatively, ischemia could play a role in CC WMH. Our observations of increased CC WMH localized to the mid-posterior portion of the genu and mid-anterior portion of the splenium of the CC are similar to another report of an older population where ischemia was the proposed etiology.\textsuperscript{57} We also found strong correlations between CC WMH and total WMH volume suggesting that they might share the same etiology, consistent with the previous report as well \textsuperscript{57}. The inferior surface of CC represents a terminal zone of the penetrating arteries and is therefore vulnerable to ischemic changes. Moreover, the mid-anterior surface of the splenium abuts the cistern of the velum interpositum and lacks ependymal coverage\textsuperscript{58,59} that may contribute to the development of WMH in the CC. As with the periventricular WMH, however, a purely vascular etiology for the CC WMH would not explain differences in splenium WMH associated with an AD diagnosis that were absent in genu of the CC and no significant differences in splenium WMH were found when analyzing the effects of vascular risk and hypertension.

Convergent findings of increased WMH in posterior periventricular and splenium ROIs in relation to cognitive status strongly suggests a degenerative role or more likely an interaction with vascular disease as the etiology of these differences. This hypothesis is strengthened by the multivariate analysis that shows independent effects of vascular risk and diagnosis on WMH ROIs. Vascular risk factors, particularly hypertension, appear to exert an effect restricted to the periventricular area (although the impact of hypertension was greatest in the posterior region where normal aging effects are least, as shown in
Figures 4 and 5), whereas the impact of diagnosis appears to be significantly related to posterior white matter tracts closely associated with the parietal degeneration of AD 49-52. It is tempting to speculate from these data that AD pathology could make posterior white matter tracts more vulnerable to ischemic factors resulting in the regional specificity seen with our results.

Because of a number of limiting factors, these results should be interpreted cautiously. First, this is a cross-sectional study in a population that included individuals referred to or recruited from our memory disorders clinic and is, therefore, not truly representative of the general population. The WMH map and patterns of WMH with each population, however, do not differ substantially from those reported by others.19,20, 33 Second, our sample included individuals with modest degrees of concurrent CVD and ischemic vascular risk factors. Individuals with cortical infarction, however, were excluded from the analysis and vascular risk factors—although significantly associated with total WMH volume—did not differ amongst the groups. The findings of significant atrophy of both brain and hippocampus amongst the 3 groups further supports the notion that AD was the predominant disease process in the dementia group.

We believe that our newly developed method of WMH mapping reveals differences in WMH associated with increasing cognitive impairment that appear related to extent, spatial location and possibly differential etiology. The results also strongly suggest the vascular disease affects white matter tracts differently than AD degeneration with the potential consequence that vascular factors may interact additively with AD to increase the likelihood clinically expressed cognitive impairment. Future longitudinal studies
measuring the evolution of regional WMH within each individual will be necessary to test this hypothesis more directly.
References


Figure Legends

Figure 1. Composite WMH frequency maps for all study subject in anatomical MNI reference space. Orange color indicates voxels containing WMH that voxel location with a frequency of more than 10%, yellow color indicates a frequency of more than 50%.

Figure 2. Graphical display of 10 ROIs overlaid on the target image. A: axial slice, B: slightly oblique view of sagittal slice. Red areas indicate each ROI. Cg: genu of corpus callosum, Cs: splenium of corpus callosum, Pa: anterior periventricular region, Pm: middle of periventricular region, Pp: posterior periventricular region, Po: occipital periventricular region.

Figure 3. Three-dimensional WMH frequency maps for each cognitive group displayed in 2 separate orientations for enhanced visualization. A: Cognitively normal older individuals (CN), B: Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI), C: Alzheimer disease AD. Orange area indicates voxels containing WMH with a frequency of 10% or higher.

Figure 4. Graphic displays of mean WMH for periventricular regions and corpus callosum according to diagnostic group. A: periventricular regions, B: corpus callosum. White columns: patients with Alzheimer disease (AD), gray columns: patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), black column: Cognitively Normal (CN) older subjects. Error bars indicate standard deviations. * : \( p < 0.004 \), ** : \( p < 0.0001 \), when comparing AD to CN.
Figure 5. Graph displays of mean WMH for each ROI comparing subjects with to those without hypertension. White columns: subjects with hypertension; gray columns: subjects without hypertension. Error bars indicate standard deviations. *: $p < 0.01$, **: $p < 0.0005$, ***: $p < 0.0001$. Upper right hand image: 2 dimensional axial slice of WMH having a frequency of 10% or higher for subjects with and without hypertension. Green: subjects with hypertension, Orange-yellow: subjects without hypertension.

Figure 6. 3-dimensional WMH frequency maps for each cognitive group. A: 1st quartile MMSE 29-30, B: 2nd quartile, MMSE 28, C: 3rd quartile, MMSE 25-27, D: 4th quartile MMSE 0-24. Orange area indicates voxels containing WMH with a frequency of 10% or higher.
Table Subject demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>MCI</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number (F/M)</td>
<td>33 (23/10)</td>
<td>28 (11/17)</td>
<td>26 (16/10)</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>73.4 ± 8.1 [61-91]</td>
<td>74.8 ± 8.2 [60-88]</td>
<td>79.6 ± 6.8 [63-93]</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>10.9 ± 5.8 [0-20]</td>
<td>12.5 ± 5.4 [0-21]</td>
<td>11.7 ± 5.2 [0-18]</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>27.9 ± 2.7 [19-30]</td>
<td>26.8 ± 1.9 [21-30]</td>
<td>19.5 ± 6.8 [0-27]</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular risk score (%)</td>
<td>27 ± 23 [0-80]</td>
<td>22 ± 20 [0-75]</td>
<td>27 ± 22 [0-67]</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (yes/no)</td>
<td>20/13</td>
<td>17/10</td>
<td>18/8</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain volume (%TCV)</td>
<td>83.3 ± 4.3</td>
<td>80.8 ± 4.2</td>
<td>77.3 ± 4.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMH volume (%TCV)</td>
<td>-1.04 ± 0.89</td>
<td>-0.57 ± 1.09</td>
<td>-0.13 ± 0.93</td>
<td>&lt; 0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC volume (%TCV)</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.06</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CN: cognitive normal older individuals, MCI: mild cognitive impairment, AD: Alzheimer disease, n.s.: no significant. WMH: white matter hyperintensity, HC hippocampus, TCV: total cranial volume.

Data represented as mean ± standard deviation. MRI volume measures corrected for head size (%TCV). Values in brackets indicate range.  #log transformed to normalize variance.

Post hoc comparison of significant group differences:  * CN versus AD,  a CN and MCI versus AD,  b CN versus MCI and AD.